

# Simulation studies of the mixed radiation field in TCC2 area

! Corrected version !

Slide 3: The indication for the "material dependence" refers to the Energy Deposition.

Slide 5 and 6(new): The graph on slide 5 refers to the Energy Deposition in Alanine and Air.  
The graph on slide 6 is the Dose for the two materials.

Katerina Tsoulou

Radiation Working Group  
AB/CO

Joint RADWG and RADMON Working Group Day  
4 December 2003



# Outline

- ◆ Introductory remarks
- ◆ Simulation of TCC2 area
- ◆ Radiation maps (fluxes and dose rates)
- ◆ Dosimetry
- ◆ Conclusions

# Introductory remarks

We are interested in:

Radiation Tolerance of Components and Systems

→ Total energy deposition and particle fluence  
suffered by our devices before they fail

Energy deposition = DOSE (Gy)

$$1 \text{ Gray} = 1 \frac{\text{Joule}}{\text{kg}}$$

material dependence


# Introductory remarks

## Some of our dosimeters:

- ◆ Radio-Photo-Luminescence and Thermo-Luminescence Dosimeters (RPL and TLD)
  - Glass / photons and electrons (0.1 - 50 MeV)  
*[ISO/ASTM 51956, 2002]*
- ◆ Polymer-Alanine Dosimeter (PAD)
  - Alanine / photons and electrons (0.1 - 28 MeV)  
*[ISO/ASTM Standard 51607, 2002]*
- ◆ Ionization Chambers (PMI)
  - Air / Charged particles (?)
- ◆ Simulation
  - Air / All particles (all energies)


# Introductory remarks

Simulation studies using a cylinder of Alanine ( $C_3H_7NO_2$ ) or Air (N+O) and various particles at various energies



# Introductory remarks

Simulation studies using a cylinder of Alanine ( $C_3H_7NO_2$ ) or Air (N+O) and various particles at various energies



Dashed / Triangles:  
ALANINE

Continuous / Squares:  
AIR


Doses are different for the two materials, especially for energetic photons!

# Important remarks !

Our "axioms":

- ◆ Energy Deposition in **AIR** should be the reference (same for LHC tunnel).
- ◆ **PMI** is the dosimeter of interest.
- ◆ PMI measurements in **Gy** !  
Sv refers only to photons during beam off.  
*In TCC2 this is not the usual case ...*

# Simulation of TCC2 area



... using FLUKA




Transport and Interaction

electromagnetic ( $< 1000$  TeV)  
hadronic particles ( $< 20$  TeV)




# Particle Spectra

The fluences in TCC2 area  
(at rack position, 1 - 1.5 m from beam)



The fluences in the LHC tunnel




[C. Fynbo, G. Stevenson, CERN, 2001]

Similar spectra (typical of concrete / iron environment)

Almost similar energy ranges...

# Fluence map - Total Hadrons

Total hadron fluence in  $\text{cm}^{-2}/\text{proton}$  hitting on the T6 target.



On average we have  $\sim 3 \cdot 10^{16}$  protons on T6 / day (see SPS logfile)

Near TAX:


$$1 - 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{proton} \times 3 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ protons} = 0.3 - 1.5 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{day}$$

In LHC:

$$\sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{year} \quad [C. Fynbo, G. Stevenson, CERN, 2001]$$

# Fluence map - Hadrons > 20 MeV

Hadrons > 20MeV fluence in cm<sup>-2</sup>/proton hitting on the T6 target.



*Near TAX:*


$$1 - 5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{proton} \times 3 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ protons} = 0.5 - 1.5 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{day}$$

*In LHC:*

$$\sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}/\text{year} \quad [C. Fynbo, G. Stevenson, CERN, 2001]$$

# Dose map

Dose in Gy/proton hitting on the T6 target.



*Near TAX:*



$$\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-16} \text{ Gy / proton} \times 3 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ protons} = \sim 3 \text{ Gy / day}$$

*In LHC:*

$$5 - 10 \text{ Gy / year} \quad [C. Fynbo, G. Stevenson, CERN, 2001]$$

# Dosimetry


## Cumulative graphs



More graphs under <http://lhc-radwg.web.cern.ch/LHC-radwg/>

# Dosimetry - Ratios

Most of the PMIs agree within a factor of 3...  
(mean values of 8 independent runs)




# Dosimetry - Discussion

- ❖ For the positions away from the TAX there is a large difference between measurement and simulation.

In TCC2 area there is a very steep field gradient. Doses decrease rapidly away from the TAX (point source).

During the simulation, statistics is less leading to larger errors.

Other sources (not included in the current study) may become important away from the TAX ...



# Conclusions

- ◆ Use TCC2 for final tests:  
1 day in TCC2  $\approx$  1 year in LHC
- ◆ For the positions 1 - 8 simulation values are better to use, but prefer PMI values for the positions 9 - 11.
- ◆ Particle fluences are very important for the radiation tolerance studies and are well defined by the simulation maps.
- ◆ Use the "per proton on T6" maps and calculate the values you need by looking up the SPS logfile for the period of interest.  
*[ cern.ch\Divisions\SL\DIV\_SL\STAT\SPSSTAT\PROTONS\Current\Tab\SPROTONS.XLS ]*