Studies of BLM thresholds for 200kW



B. Salvachua & D. Wollmann

R.W.Assmann, R. Bruce, B. Holzer, S. Redaelli, M. Sapinski, R. Schmidt, B. Dehning

16th May 2012



Introduction



Recently we had some fills dumped by beam loss with losses occurring at primary collimators with ~ 50-60kW

- Compare losses in these fills with losses during collimation loss maps
- Calculate new BLM thresholds for higher power loss

Why can we go up?

The collimation system is designed for 500kW losses in IR7 for up to 10 s (100kW continuously)

In MD we tested the collimation system for 500kW for 1-2 s without quench

Target value for losses without dump: 200kW, as tentatively agreed at rMPP



Beam Dump during SQUEEZE		
Date	Fill	Reason
2012-05-06 12:36:02	2589	Losses in Q4.L6
2012-05-07 04:34:12	2592	Losses in Q4.L6

During these fills the power loss before dump was ~ 50-60kW. Beam lost at primary collimators and then cleaned away

Leakage to IR6 TCGS resulted in high BLM signal at Q4 which then triggered beam dump.

We verified that these squeeze losses are very close to collimation loss maps. So we can use collimation loss maps to define thresholds.





(losses in squeeze/physics versus losses in collimation tests)

Expected leakage to IR6 from loss maps is ~ 0.7%

Fill 2589 - SQUEEZE (2012-05-06 12:36:02)





Comparison of expected losses for 200kW with Current BLM thresholds using RS09 (1.3s)

Project

CERN







Comparison of expected losses for 200kW with Current BLM thresholds using RS09 (1.3s)



Increase Factors for BLM Thresholds (200 kW, max BI/2 and H/V)

RS09



Thresholds from 2012-05-08

From BI and B2 loss maps:

Ratio 200kW/Current s [m] Current [Gy/s] **BLM** name 1.92 BLMEI.06L7.B2I10 TCLA.D6L7.B2 19773.09 0.003501531 1.73 BLMEI.06L7.B2I10 TCLA.C6L7.B2 19775.09 0.003501531 BLMEI.06R7.B2I10 TCSG.A6R7.B2 20154.65 1.68 0.8751161 BLMEI.06R7.B2I10 TCP.A6R7.B2 20192.14 1.51 1.750238 BLMEI.06L7.B1E10_TCP.A6L7.B1 19796.18 1.41 1.750238 20213.23 BLMEI.06R7.B1E10 TCLA.C6R7.B1 1.41 0.003501531 BLMEI.06R7.B1E10_TCLA.D6R7.B1 20215.23 1.37 0.003501531 BLMEI.06L7.B1E10_TCSG.A6L7.B1 1.30 19833.68 0.8751161 BLMEI.07L7.B2I10_TCLA.A7L7.B2 1.30 19755.46 0.001750764 BLMEI.06L7.B2I10_TCSG.6L7.B2 1.22 19846.3 0.8751161 BLMEI.06L7.B2I10_TCLA.B6L7.B2 1.22 19808.36 2.187791 BLMEI.06R7.B1E10 TCLA.B6R7.B1 1.22 20179.96 2.187791 BLMEI.07R7.B1E10 TCLA.A7R7.B1 20232.86 1.19 0.001750764 BLMEI.06R7.B1E10 TCLA.A6R7.B1 20149.09 1.19 2.187791 BLMEI.06L7.B2I10 TCLA.A6L7.B2 19839.24 1.16 2.187791 BLMEI.06R7.B1E10_TCSG.6R7.B1 20142.02 1.08 0.8751161 BLMEI.04R7.B2I10 TCSG.D4R7.B2 1.08 20070.09 0.1750286 16th May 2012 D. Wollmann & B. Salvachua



Comparison of expected losses for 200kW with Current BLM thresholds using RSI0 (5.2s)







Summary



- Compare losses in Fills 2589 and 2592 with collimation loss maps
 - General good agreement → use collimation loss maps for thresholds
 - ► Losses in IR6 seen 3 times higher than in loss maps → expected and seen before. Need margin there to cope with up to factor IO.
- Calculation of new thresholds for 200kW loss at primary collimators was done:
 - Shorter RS (< 1.3s) do not go above the current thresholds for 200kW.
 - Only RS09 and above (>= 1.3s) are affected and should be changed
 - For 200 kW: 17 collimators in IP7 need an increase of the BLM thresholds (RS09) by up to factor 2
 - Discussions this morning: Maybe set up for 500kW and control be monitor factor.

16th May 2012 D. V





Notice! this is in Gy/s (not normalized)

Fill 2592 - SQUEEZE (2012-05-07 04:34:12)





BI HOR



Comparison of expected losses for 200kW with Current BLM thresholds using RS09 (1.3s)









Comparison of expected losses for 200kW with Current BLM thresholds using RS09 (1.3s)

