

Accuracy of LHC proton loss rate determination by the BLM system

Bernd Dehning, Christian Fabjan, Eva Barbara Holzer, Daniel Kramer, Mariusz Sapinski and Markus Stockner, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland

prepared by E.B. Holzer and presented by D. Kramer

The authors would like to thank Michael Bieler, Philip Duval, Bernhard Holzer, Peter Schmitz, Josef Wilgen, Ferdinand Willeke and Kay Wittenburg from DESY for their kind support of the experiment during the past three years and Roderik Bruce, CERN, for the FLUKA simulations of the HERA beam dump.

Abstract: Most of the monitors of the LHC beam loss monitoring (BLM) system are installed on the outside of the magnet cryostats, around the quadrupole magnets. Their aim is to prevent quenches and to protect the super conducting magnets from damage. The lost beam particles initiate hadronic showers through the magnets and deposit energy in the coils. The gas filled BLM ionization chambers probe the very far transverse tail of the showers. The BLM system relies on GEANT simulations to determine the relation between the chamber of lost beam particles and the deposited energy in the magnet coil. The specification of the BLM system includes a factor of two absolute precision on the prediction of the quench levels. As the shower tails are not necessarily well represented by particle simulation codes, it is crucial to experimentally determine the accuracy of these simulations.

An LHC type BLM system was installed at the internal beam dump of HERA at DESY since 2005. The hadronic showers created by the impacting 39 GeV and 920 GeV protons have been simulated with GEANT4. The far transverse tails of the showers on the outside of the dump have been measured by ionization chambers. This paper will present the comparison of simulation to measurement and the conclusions drawn for the LHC BLM system.

LHC and its BLM System

Calibration of the BLM System



- Circumference: 26.7 km
- Injection energy: 450 GeV
- **Top energy:** 7 TeV in two counter rotating beams
- ~ 350 MJ stored energy per beam (can melt 500 kg of copper)
- ~ 11 GJ stored energy in the magnet system
- ~ **3x10**¹⁴ protons per beam
- Superconducting magnets
- Magnetic field 8.3 T (1.9 K)
- Factor 4 20 more sensitive to beam losses compared to existing hadron machines
 - Quench Risk **BLM System**
- Machine protection against damage of equipment and magnet quench **Purpose:**
 - Localization of beam losses and identification of loss mechanism
 - Machine setup and studies
- BLMI mounted outside of cryostat (transverse tail of hadronic showers), six around Location: each quadrupole, special locations (high dose rates) BLMS
- **Challenges:** Reliability (tolerable failure rate 10⁻⁷ per hour per channel) • Large dynamic range (10⁸, pA – mA) achieved with BLMI + BLMS



Ionization chamber design: Diameter = 8.9 cm; Length: 60 cm; Volume: 1.5 litre; 61 Al disks of 0.5 mm; Gas: N_2 (1.1 bar); Bias voltage: 1500 V





Ionization Chamber Response Simulation

Characterization of the LHC BLM detector

Hadronic Shower Measurements at HERA

LHC BLM signal rang

HERA signal range

HERA/DESY internal proton beam dump equipped with LHC type BLM system; 6

Detector response can be folded with spectra \rightarrow Detector signal

2 mm thick detector wall of stainless steel leads to an **energy cut-off**: (particle above this level start to deposit energy in the detector)

- ~ 30 MeV Protons
- Electrons, pions ~ 2 MeV
- Deposited energy is converted with the w-value to produced charges (Nitrogen: 35 eV per electron-ion pair, ICRU report 31)

Verification of simulation by analytic calculations and measurements of proton, neutron, gamma and mixed radiation fields

Uncertainty of 17% derived as the systematic error of the detector response functions in the LHC

Detailed detector simulation with Geant4 (4.8.1.p01 QGSP_BERT_HP):

- 9 different particle types
- kinetic energy range: 10 keV 10 TeV
- 60 deg impact angle relative to detector axis is used for LHC threshold calculations





Space charge correction applied to HERA measurements (factor 1 to 5). Above a critical ionization density a dead zone forms next to the cathode (R.M. Zwaska, PhD thesis, University of Texas at Austin, December 2005)



Part of the error estimation of the LHC BLM system calibration with Geant4:

 Verification of far transverse hadronic shower tail simulations

 High flux of low energy neutrons and gammas

Strong dependence on simulation code and physics modes, QGSP_BERT_HP and FLUKA closest to data (less than factor 2 in the peak)

- Significant difference in absolute height and longitudinal shape between measurement and simulation.
- Successful longterm test of the complete LHC BLM System in real accelerator environment



ID

HERA dump 920GeV

Integrated detector signal

10 ²

10 ³

10 10 ² 10 ³ 10 ⁴ 10 ⁵ 10

Energy [MeV]

10 -2

neutron

- gamma

-mu+/

---- pi+/--

10 ⁴ 10 ⁵ 10 ⁶ Energy [MeV]





Secondary particle fluence spectrum on the outside recoded in a 3.4 m long stripe, lethargy representation.

Detector signal, Σ , (particle fluence folded with detector response) at 1.5m from the proton impact

Loss duration dependent quench limits for the MQY magnet. Previously calculated minimum and maximum BLM signals for LHC arc magnet in comparison.

loss duration	quench limit	detector current [A]			
		\min	\max	this work	error
${<}100\mu{ m s}$	$5 \mathrm{~mJ/cm^3}$	3.05e-07	1.83e-05	6.89e-05	3.65e-05
$100\mathrm{s}$	$5.29 \mathrm{~mW/cm^3}$	4.17e-10	2.50e-08	2.92e-09	1.55e-09

	LHC MQY	HERA dump				
e+/-	12.6%	3.8%				
gamma	30.7%	18.5%				
mu+/-	0.9%	0.9%				
neutron	12.1%	42.6%				
pi+/-	20.6%	13.6%				
proton	23.1%	20.6%				
total signal $[aC/p]$	184.14	7.61				

Comparison of a superconducting LHC magnet to the HERA proton beam dump in terms of radiation length (X_{0}) and nuclear interaction length (λ_0)

Contribution from the different particle types to the signal. Compared are the signals for an LHC MQY BLM detector and detector 2 at the HERA dump experiment.

distance		HERA dump		distance	MQY	LHC
long. $[m]$	lateral $[m]$	$[X_0]$	$[\lambda_0]$	lateral $[m]$	$[X_0]$	$[\lambda_0]$
0	0.5	21.02	2.28	0.33	11.59	1.17
1.5	0.5	64.44	6.98	0.33	51.08	5.17
2.5	0.5	103.42	11.18	0.33	83.83	8.49
3.5	0.5	144.57	15.62	0.33	116.86	11.83
5	0.5	202.54	21.88	0.33		
6	0.5	246.47	26.64	0.33		